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ABSTRACT
Bringing a pregnancy to term is possible for a woman carrying a ventriculoperitoneal bypass valve, however, pregnancy can be a source of malfunction of the bypass system. We report two cases of malfunction of a VPS during the pregnancy’s 3rd trimester in two patients aged 25 and 30 years respectively. The valve was examined in both cases and the persistence of the neurological signs required a cesarean section. The diagnostic aspects and management strategies were discussed as regards these two cases and throughout the literature review.

INTRODUCTION
Since the introduction of derivative CSF techniques in the treatment of hydrocephalus, the prognosis for children with this pathology has considerably improved [2,12,15,24,26] Bacterial meningitis is a common cause of morbidity in pediatric wards and constitutes the main aetiology of hydrocephalus occurrence [8,23]. With the development of neurosurgery in the past 20 years, many children are operated on from an early age and most often benefit from the establishment of a VPS. Today, many of these female children are of childbearing age. Malfunction can occur in 50% to 70% of women in labour with VPS, and doesn’t necessarily mean anything in the development of future pregnancies. [18]. Treatment may be difficult and requires multidisciplinary collaboration between neurosurgeons, obstetrician-gynecologists-, and anaesthetists.

CLINICAL CASES
Case 1
A 25-year-old pregnant woman, second gesture and primiparous, was admitted to the gyneco-obstetrics emergency department for seizures
and impaired alertness. There was a record in the case of a VPS bypass performed at the age of 5 years for obstructive hydrocephalus. A previous pregnancy 5 years ago had been carried to term without incident. The physical examination revealed a Glasgow score of 11 without focal neurological deficit. The diagnosis of a pregnancy toxemia in the 3rd trimester was brought up. However, blood pressure and pulse were normal, blood sugar and proteinuria dosages were also normal. The obstetric examination found a pregnancy of 32 weeks of amenorrhea (WA), a uterine height (UH) at 29 cm in relation to gestational age, and fetal heart sounds (FHS) being normal. The VPS examination noted resistance to depression of the valve reservoir and the Cranio-cerebral CT scan showed dilation of the ventricular cavities with a ventricular drain in place (Figure 1). An evaluation of the VPS has been carried out. Removal of the peritoneal drain did not reveal any obstruction of the distal catheter, and it was reintroduced into the peritoneal cavity. The post-operative course was marked by a noteworthy improvement in the state of consciousness. The patient was kept under intensive care monitoring observation. A week later moderate signs of intracranial hypertension (ICHT) reappeared. A caesarean was performed at 34 WA. Upon extraction of the newborn, the peritoneal drain was found in the vesico-uterine pouch. After verifying its permeability and extensive washing of the operating site, the drain was replaced in the peritoneal cavity. The post-operative course was satisfactory for the mother and for the child throughout a follow-up of 15 months.

**Case 2**

A 30-year-old pregnant woman, first gesture, consulted in neurosurgery for headache and visual disturbances having developed for a week during a pregnancy of 34 weeks. There was a record of VPS for post-meningitis hydrocephalus at the age of 6 years. A valve revision was performed at the age of 16 following a VPS malfunction and the patient had retained neurosensory sequelae with a significant decrease in visual acuity. Upon examination, the blood pressure was normal. The obstetrical examination found an UH at 30cm in relation to the gestational age and the fetal heart sounds were normal. The cranioencephalic scanner had shown dilation of the ventricular cavities. Given the worsening of neurological disorders with impaired alertness, a revision of the VPS was carried out. The peritoneal drain has been repositioned on the other side of the midline. The clinical improvement had been brief and the outcome on day two was marked by the onset of seizures and a new impairment of consciousness. An emergency Cesarean was performed with the extraction of a newborn with a good Apgar score. The development was marked by an improvement in consciousness but with permanent blindness in the mother.

**DISCUSSION**

Ventriculoperitoneal shunt remains the most widely used method for the treatment of hydrocephalus [18]. Its complications, which are most often mechanical and/or infectious, have been widely reported in the literature [1,3,4,7]. In pregnant women with a VPS, malfunction can occur in 50% to 70% of cases [2,18,20,26]. Furthermore, it is established that VPS has a higher incidence of fault during pregnancy than other types of leads [2,5,10,21,24,25,27]. It usually occurs during the pregnancy’s 3rd trimester [2,5,18,26]. A summary of the cases reported in the literature is shown in Table 1. The mechanism for the occurrence of VPS malfunction remains still little understood [11]. The mechanism most often described would be the increase in intra-abdominal pressure due to the presence of the fetus, which would hinder resorption of CSF in the peritoneum [5,11,12,13]. The regression of symptoms observed in most series after childbirth is an argument in favor of this mechanism. The second hypothesis would be the compression of the catheter by a large uterus or a neighboring viscera.

![Figure 1. Head CT scan showing ventricular dilation.](image)
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such as the stomach, bladder or liver [14,15]. In our 1st case, the peritoneal drain was wound in the vesico-uterine pouch. In our 2nd case, the malfunction is linked to a functional obstruction of the peritoneal catheter, probably related to the increase in intra-abdominal pressure. It has been noted by several authors that there is no correlation between the type of valve and the occurrence of the fault [17,20,21].

The classic clinical picture is the occurrence of signs of intracranial hypertension (ICH) whose intensity is variable. These are usually isolated or associated with persistent headaches to vomiting or visual disturbances [11]. These signs can also be observed in pregnant women without VPS malfunction noted. In the series of Wishoff et al. [27], 59% of patients with VPS had signs of intracranial hypertension. The onset of drowsiness, confusion syndrome, blindness, or altered consciousness is evidence of the severity of intracranial hypertension.

The occurrence of inaugural convulsive seizures as in our first case, or intense headache, can mimic the image of pre-eclampsia in the third trimester of pregnancy and mislead the diagnosis of valve malfunction [2,10,15,24]. A malfunction can be suspected through the revision of the VPS which sometimes displays resistance to the depression of the valve reservoir. However, the majority of current VPS systems are no longer equipped with a flexible CSF tank. The scanner and/or MRI, in the event of a malfunction, assesses the dilation of the ventricular cavities associated or not with transependymal resorption and erasure of the cortical grooves with a well-positioned ventricular drain. There is however a risk of irradiation of the fetus by X-rays [6,18]. Isotopic cisternography can diagnose the malfunction, but also risks fetal exposure to radioactive products [5,6,18,27]. MRI is the examination of choice because it does not involve any risk of fetal irradiation, and makes it possible to assess the hydrodynamics of the LCS on the flow sequences [2,6,13,18,27]. The problem is the existence of a shunt which is not compatible with MRI, and the deregulation of the drainage pressure by the magnetic field [9]. In our two cases, the scanner made it possible to diagnose the malfunction of the VPS.

The treatment of VPS malfunction during pregnancy requires a multidisciplinary collaboration between obstetrician-gynecologist, anesthesiologist-resuscitator, and neurosurgeon. It must take into account gestational age, and especially the mother's neurological state. Valve overhaul is sometimes not necessary. Liakos et al [17], in their series, had respectively reported a rate of malfunction and valve revision of 13.7% and 5% among 138 pregnancies followed in 70 patients. When the neurological signs are moderate, a conservative treatment is proposed as a first intervention by several authors. [5,11,13,14,27]. The bed rest sometimes associated with a diuretic treatment such as furosemide or acetozolamide and the daily mechanical pumping of the valve reservoir when possible most often allow a regression of the symptoms of ICHT and allow to carry pregnancy to term. Mechanical pumping can be associated with regular suction of the valve reservoir [5,11,12]. However, we believe that this method, even if it can be effective in managing ICHT, carries a high risk of infection. In our 1st case, the change of site of the peritoneal drain allowed us to attain an acceptable period for childbirth. Hawg et al 2010, have advocated for the same approach. Rees et al made the change to the complete system although obstruction of catheter was not proven. Several authors argue for the conversion of VPS to VAS (ventriculoatrial shunt) in the event of malfunction [11,15,21,22,25]. The arguments proposed are the low rate of malfunction and neurological signs observed in the patients with a VAS during pregnancy. Sova et al [26] had proposed the externalisation of the peritoneal catheter until delivery, but this approach in our opinion increases the risk of infection. Endoscopic ventriculocisternostomy can be performed in case of VPS malfunction on obstructive hydrocephalus, and at the same time allows the complete removal of the defective bypass system [9,25]. The obstetric approach also depends on the neurological condition of the patient. In most of the series vaginal delivery is recommended [2,6,9,13,18]. On the other hand, in the event of significant neurological deterioration, a cesarean is necessary. In this case, the revision of the VPS can be carried out simultaneously.
**Table 1.** Summary of cases from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Maternal age (years)</th>
<th>Gestational age (WA)</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Type of birth</th>
<th>Anaesthesia</th>
<th>Outcome mother</th>
<th>Outcome newborn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freo et al [10]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>coma</td>
<td>Shunt revision</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwang et al [13]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Headache and drowsiness</td>
<td>Shunt revision</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuisimano et al [5]</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Headache and vomiting</td>
<td>Pumping and suction</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston et al [12]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Headache and vomiting</td>
<td>suction</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>No anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riffaud et al [25]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Headache, vomiting and visual disturbance</td>
<td>Ventrículo-cisternostomy and valve removal</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>epidural anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Headache and visual disturbance</td>
<td>Ventrículo-cisternostomy and valve removal</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>epidural anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>unspec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Ventrículo-cisternostomy and valve removal</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>epidural anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanakita et al [11]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Headache, visual disturbance and alertness disorder</td>
<td>conversion of VPS to VAS</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>No anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher et al. [9]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Headache, amnesia and urination</td>
<td>Shunt revision</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rees et al [24]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Convulsions and alertness disorder</td>
<td>Change of ventriculoperitoneal bypass device</td>
<td>abortion</td>
<td>No anaesthesia</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sova et al [26]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Headache, diplopia and Parinaud</td>
<td>External ventricular drain</td>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Conclusion**

The treatment of a bypass malfunction during pregnancy is sometimes difficult. It requires close collaboration between neurosurgeons, obstetrician-gynecologists, and anaesthetists. Awareness of these different actors is necessary given the number of female children who have reached the reproductive age. The choice of ventriculocisternostomy in the treatment of hydrocephalus in children when it is indicated may allow avoiding the occurrence of this complication. It is also a treatment of choice when hydrocephalus or VPS malfunction occurs during pregnancy. In all cases, carrying out a pre, peri and post conceptual survey of patients with VPS is necessary.
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